SUDAN & NUBIA

The Sudan Archaeological Research Society



Reports

An inscribed basin of the 18 th dynasty (reign of Amenhotep III) from the fortress of Shalfak in Lower Nubia W. Vivian Davies	1
Angareeb-bed production in modern Nubia: Documenting a dying craft tradition Manuela Lehmann	11
The biocultural heritage and historical ecology of date palm cultivation in Nubian villages, northern Sudan Philippa Ryan, Mohammed Hassan, Mohamed Saad, Marcus Jaeger, Caroline Cartwright, Dorian Fuller and Neal Spencer	24
The archaeological and heritage survey of the Northern el-Mahas region. First season's report (2020) Abdelrahman Ibrahim Said	40
Preliminary report for the Western Desert of the Third Cataract Region Project (Wadi Gorgod - first season 2018-2019) Hamad Mohamed Hamdeen, Altayeb Abdalla Hassbrabo, Safa Gamal Idres, Samar Salah Mustafa, and Fatima Idris Mahmoud	49
Starting anew at Old Dongola Artur Obłuski and Dorota Dzierbicka	67
From development displacement and salvage archaeology in Nubia to inclusive sustainable heritage and development crafting in Old Dongola Peter Bille Larsen	82
Dialogue Community Project in Old Dongola (2019-2021) Tomomi Fushiya	95
Wadi Abu Dom investigations: El Rum Oasis Tim Karberg and Angelika Lohwasser	108
Goldmines, nomad camps, and cemeteries: The 2018 season of the Atbai Survey Project Julien Cooper	121
Archaeological report on the excavation of a post-Meroitic necropolis at el-Madanab (Shahid Rescue Archaeological Project) Fakhri Hassan Abdallah, Romain David and Iwona Kozieradzka-Ogunmakin	135
T he archaeological site of Damboya in the Shendi Reach. Second season Marc Maillot	154
Building E at Damboya, second season Gabrielle Choimet	168
(Re)examining the tomb of Queen Yeturow at Nuri Meghan E. Strong, Susan Doll, Fakhri Hassan Abdallah, Helen O'Brien, Simone Petacchi, Abagail Breidenstein and Pearce Paul Creasman	184
Dental insights into the biological affinities of the inhabitants of Gabati over a period of cultural transition Emma L. W. Phillips, Joel D. Irish and Daniel Antoine	195
Jebel Hawrā, a new archaeological site in Eastern Sudan Enrico Giancristofaro	209

Studies

Was the individual buried in MOG012.4 a Christian, a pagan, or both? Evidence for the appropriation of Christianity from a Late Antique-Early Medieval tumulus grave on Mograt Island Claudia Näser, Alexandros Tsakos and Jens Weschenfelder	221
After 'InBetween': Disentangling cultural contacts across Nubia during the 2 nd millennium BC Aaron de Souza	230
Skeuomorphism in Kerma metal vessels Carl Walsh	243
Heart scarabs and other heart-related objects in New Kingdom Nubia Rennan Lemos	252
Sheikh and Melik 1925: A short note Paul T. Nicholson	270
Book reviews	274
Obituaries	
Peter MacKenzie Smith (1946-2020)	279
Professor Abdelgadir Mahmoud Abdallah (1937-2021)	279
Sandro Salvatori (1948-2020)	280
George Hart (1945-2021)	283
Biographies	284
Miscellanies	289

Front cover. Cattle and two goats\gazelle from Site GRD-14 in the Wadi Gorgod (photo Hamad Mohamed Hamdeen). *Sudan & Nubia* is a peer-reviewed journal. The opinions expressed within the journal are those of the authors and do not reflect the opinions or views of the Sudan Archaeological Research Society or its editors.

Dental insights into the biological affinities of the inhabitants of Gabati over a period of cultural transition Emma L. W. Phillips, Joel D. Irish, and Daniel Antoine



Figure 1. Map of ancient Nubia.

Recording skeletal collections in this manner is repeatable, cost effective and can be used when DNA is irretrievable, as has been the case in hot, dry areas like the Nile Valley.

During the period in which the graves were in use, the empire had reached the zenith of its power, extending from the 1st Cataract at Aswan to the confluence of the Blue and White Nile Rivers below the 6th Cataract (Welsby 2005, 39). Although there was a level of cultural homogeny throughout the kingdom, with an official written language and religion (Zabkar 1975; Rilly and De Voogt 2012), evidence of regional differences in both the material culture and burial record is clear (see Edwards 1996; Wolf and Nowotnick 2006; Usai *et al.* 2014; Sakamoto 2016; Bushara *et al.* 2017). Biodistance studies have hinted at this, with skeletal assemblages from the Meroitic period showing greater affinities with samples that are geographically close over those that are temporally adjacent (Irish 2005; 2008).

Cultural changes between the Meroitic and following post-Meroitic and medieval periods can be observed at Gabati, but there are also some signs of continuity (Edwards and Judd 2012). The post-Meroitic graves are characterised by single burials, interred in a contracted position, orientated north-south, and covered by tumuli. This form of burial is observed throughout Nubia during this period (Edwards 1998, 205). Body position and orientation are the same as in the Meroitic period, but mounds were used to cover

Gabati is located below the 5th Cataract of the Nile (Figure 1), 40km north of Meroe, the capital of the Kushite empire from c. 300 BC-350 AD (Edwards 2004, 141). The cemetery at Gabati contains graves dating to the late Meroitic (c. 200 BC-200 AD), post-Meroitic (c. 550-700 AD), and medieval periods (c. 900-1200 AD), and represents a rare example of a non-elite burial complex in the heart of the Meroitic empire (Edwards 1998, 194-208). The site provides a window into the changes that occurred before, during, and after the fall of the empire. Biological distance studies can enhance understanding of cemetery sites, providing an opportunity to see if cultural shifts are accompanied by biological changes. Biological distance (biodistance) is a measure of biological divergence/relatedness within and between groups. Biodistance studies are based on morphological differences in the skeleton and dentition, both metric and non-metric, which have been found to be a good genetic proxy (Hefner et al. 2016). the graves, and multiple interments were the norm (Edwards 1998, 194-197). Additionally, the Meroitic graves from Gabati were systematically robbed, most likely during the Meroitic period (Edwards and Judd 2012, 77), which may indicate the cemetery was not in continuous use. This may account for the gap between the latest date for Meroitic burials (*c.* AD 200) and the earliest date for post-Meroitic/medieval burials (*c.* AD 550) at Gabati (Edwards 1998, 198; Edwards and Judd 2012, 78).

Multiple biodistance studies have looked at relationships among samples from Lower Nubia and Upper Nubia (Johnson and Lovell 1995; Irish 2005; Irish 2006; Irish 2008; Schillaci et al. 2009; Godde 2010; Godde 2013; Irish 2014; Schrader et al. 2014); however, due to the dearth of material, the Meroitic period in the southern part of Upper Nubia (below the 5th Cataract of the Nile) has not yet been fully explored and set within its regional context. Two biodistance studies using the Gabati collection (Vollner 2016; Streetman 2018), found that Gabati was distinct from other Nubian medieval assemblages located between the 2nd and 4th Cataracts of the Nile. The divergence of Gabati from the other collections could be indicative of the area below the 5th Cataract following the same patterning observed farther north, where collections from the same region share greater affinities to those from the same temporal period. Vollner's study (2016, 103), based on craniometric data, also found significant differences between the Meroitic and post-Meroitic periods in the Gabati collection, which were attributed to diachronic changes rather than population discontinuity. Further, the Gabati assemblage was reported to have higher than average phenetic (i.e. similarities/differences based on observable characteristics) variation, attributed to either external gene flow (from different group(s) coming into the area) or genetic drift (i.e. the mechanism of evolution that can cause genetic trait frequencies to fluctuate in populations) (Vollner 2016, 130). Conversely, research using cranial non-metric traits conducted by Streetman (2018) on the post-Meroitic and medieval individuals from Gabati revealed that the collection was homogeneous, showing low levels of within-group variation. It was suggested that the cemetery may contain a family group rather than a community (Streetman 2018, 161). Differences in the findings from both studies could be due to the difference in data sets, as cranial metrics and cranial non-metrics have been shown to represent different elements of the genome (Herrera et al. 2014).

Adding to the previous research from the Nile Valley and Gabati (as above), dental non-metric traits will be used to investigate how the individuals buried at Gabati relate to other Nubian groups. Intersample affinities will be used to identify whether the regional patterning observed in other areas of Nubia during the Meroitic period is apparent in the Meroitic heartland. Furthermore, the dental data will be used to expand upon the cranial traits research of Vollner (2016) and Streetman (2018) to assess if the phenetic distinctiveness of Gabati in the post-Meroitic/medieval periods is part of the same regional model. Additionally, population continuity between the Meroitic and post-Meroitic/medieval periods at Gabati will be investigated to see if the presence of external gene flow indicated in earlier research (Vollner 2016) is evident.

Materials and Methods

Gabati

The cemetery was discovered in 1993, as part of a survey undertaken by the Sudan Archaeological Research Society, near the village of Gabati in Upper Nubia (Edwards 1998, 1). The main part of the cemetery was dated to the Meroitic period. A total of 64 graves were excavated and 142 individuals recovered (Edwards and Judd 2012, 75). Another 50 graves consisting of 54 individuals from the post-Meroitic (36 individuals) and medieval periods (18 individuals) were also excavated (Judd 2012, 175-178).

The skeletal collection is curated at the British Museum and contains males, females and children. Dental preservation varied depending on the time period, with only 16.8% of teeth recovered from the

	Meroitic	post-Meroitic/medieval	Total
No. of Individuals	84	37	121

Figure 2. Number of individuals used in the study.

Meroitic individuals compared with 75% and 73% in the post-Meroitic and medieval periods, respectively (Judd 2012). Furthermore, many of the Meroitic graves were robbed and reused in antiquity. As such, not all individuals had complete dentitions. Only individuals with at least one permanent tooth, either erupted or forming within the jaws of children, were included in the study. Figure 2 details the number of individuals analysed.

Comparative collections

Comparative collections were chosen to represent Lower and Upper Nubia, and also when the cemetery was in use. Further details can be found in Figure 3 (also see Figure 1). The data were recorded by Joel D. Irish (JDI) (Irish 2005; Schrader *et al.* 2014), except for Gabati and sites from the 4th Cataract region

Collection	Site(s)	Time period	Date	N	Location ^a
Gabati (GABM, GABPM)ª	Gabati	Meroitic, post- Meroitic,	200 BC-AD 700	121	ВМ
		medieval			
Upper Nubia					
Tombos (TOM) ^c	Tombos	Late New Kingdom/ 3rd Intermediate	1212-1069 BC	147	PU
3-Q-33 (3Q33)	4 th Cataract	Meroitic	100 BC-AD 350	29	BM
PM4C (PM4C) ^d	4 th Cataract	post-Meroitic	AD 350-550	30	BM
3-J-23 (3J23)	4 th Cataract	medieval	AD 500-1500	109	BM
Lower Nubia					
Pharaonic (PHA)	Faras to Gamai; Soleb	New Kingdom	1650-1350 BC	70	ASU, PAN
Meroitic (MER)	Faras to Gamai; Semna	Meroitic	100 BC-AD 350	94	ASU, PAN
X-Group (XGR)	Faras to Gamai; Semna	post-Meroitic	350–550 AD	62	ASU, PAN
Christian (CHR)	Faras to Gamai	medieval	350–1350 AD	41	ASU, PAN

^a Abbreviation used to denote the site in graphs and tables. ^bCuration at: ASU = Arizona State University, BM=British Museum, PAN = Panum Institute, PU = Purdue University. ^cTombos sample had previously been dated to the Napatan period (Schrader *et al.* 2014) but the date was revised in a later publication (Buzon *et al.* 2016). ^dAssemblage comprised from 4-M-53, 3-O-1 and 3-Q-33.

Figure 3. Details of sites used in the study.



Figure 4. Examples of asymmetric trait expression: a) Slight asymmetry in expression of tuberculum dentale on upper 2nd incisors; b) Slight asymmetry in expression of Carabelli's cusp on the upper 1st molars; c) Marked asymmetry in expression of protostylid on lower 3rd molars; d) Marked asymmetry in expression of Tomes' root on lower 1st premolars.

recorded by the author (ELWP). ELWP was trained by JDI, and subsequent interobserver error tests were performed to assess any discrepancies in recording. The minimal differences between observers were random and not statistically significant.

Data collection methods

Thirty-six dental and osseous non-metric traits from the Arizona State University Dental Anthropology System (ASUDAS) were recorded. These have been utilised successfully in previous biodistance studies, including others from the Nile valley (Irish 2005; Irish 2006; Schillaci *et al.* 2009; Schrader *et al.* 2014), and exhibit a high genetic component in expression (Scott 1973; Larsen 1997; Scott and Turner 1997; Hlusko *et al.* 2007). Scores were recorded for both right and left sides where possible as there can be asymmetry in the degree to which traits are expressed in individuals. In cases where asymmetry is present, the side with the maximum expression is recorded, see Figure 4 for examples. This approach ensures that the greatest genetic expression is scored (Scott and Irish 2017). Following the standard ASUDAS approach, the sexes were pooled, given that minimal levels of sexual dimorphism have been observed in previous studies (Turner *et al.* 1991; Scott and Irish 2017).

Analytical Methods

To allow basic evaluation of the data, results for each individual were dichotomised into categories of present or absent using standard breakpoints (Figure 5). After dichotomisation, the medieval data showed a low number of instances (i.e. <10) in all but four traits. As such, it was decided to pool the post-Meroitic and medieval data. The post-Meroitic period has often been seen as a protoculture to the medieval period in Nubia (Edwards 2004, 212). Once the results were dichotomised, further analysis was undertaken via univariate and multivariate methods.

Trait		Gabati Meroitic	Gabati post-Meroitic/medieval
Winging UI1*	%	0.00	7.69
(+ = ASU 1)	n	19	26
Labial curvature UI1	%	31.82	10.34
(+ = ASU 2-4)	n	22	29
Palatine torus	%	7.41	10.71
(+ = ASU 2-3)	n	27	28
Shoveling UI1 *	%	27.27	27.78
(+ = ASU 2-6)	n	11	18
Double shoveling UI1*	%	0.00	0.00
(+ = ASU 2-6)	n	24	29
Interuption groove UI2*	%	15.79	8.00
(+ = ASU +)	n	19	25
Tuberculum dentale UI2*	%	66.67	22.73
(+ = ASU 2-6)	n	12	22
Bushman canine UC	%	22.22	8.70
(+ = ASU 1-3)	n	9	23
Distal accessory ridge UC*	%	25.00	23.53
(+ = ASU 2-5)	n	4	17
Hypocone UM2	%	79.41	79.41
(+ = ASU 3-5)	n	34	34
Cusp 5 UM1*	%	8.33	0.00
(+ = ASU 2-5)	n	12	17
Carabelli's cusp UM1	%	31.58	46.43
(+ = ASU 2-7)	n	19	28
Parastyle UM3	%	2.70	7.41
(+ = ASU 1-5)	n	37	27
Enamel extension UM1	%	7.69	16.67
(+ = ASU 1-3)	n	13	24
Root number UP1	%	71.19	42.31
(+ = ASU 2+)	n	59	26
Root number UM2	%	78.95	57.14
(+ = ASU 3+)	n	57	28
Peg-reduced UI2	%	4.00	3.57
(+ = ASU P or R)	n	25	28
Odontome P1-P2*	%	0.00	6.90
(+ = ASU +)	n	31	29

Figure 5. Dental trait frequencies (%) and number of individuals (n).

Trait		Gabati Meroitic	Gabati post-Meroitic/medieval
Congenital absence UM3*	%	9.84	5.71
(+ = ASU -)	n	61	35
Mid line diastema UI1	%	18.18	18.18
(+ ≥ 0.5 mm)	n	11	22
Lingual Cusp LP2	%	93.33	90.32
(+ = ASU 2-9)	n	30	31
Anterior Fovea LM1	%	45.45	29.41
(+ = ASU 2-4)	n	11	17
Mandibular torus*	%	0.00	0.00
(+ = ASU 2-3)	n	39	30
Groove pattern LM2	%	12.77	11.76
(+ = ASU Y)	n	47	34
Rocker Jaw	%	38.10	44.83
(+ = ASU 1-2)	n	42	29
Cusp number LM1	%	9.38	6.06
(+ = ASU 6+)	n	32	33
Cusp number LM2*	%	23.91	35.29
(+ = ASU 5+)	n	46	34
Deflecting wrinkle LM1*	%	40.00	23.08
(+ = ASU 2-3)	n	10	13
C1-C2 crest LM1*	%	22.22	0.00
(+ = ASU +)	n	9	11
Protostylid LM1	%	7.89	11.11
(+ = ASU 1-6)	n	38	27
Cusp 7 LM1	%	11.76	6.67
(+ = ASU 2-4)	n	34	30
Tomes root LP1*	%	25.00	3.70
(+ = ASU 3-5)	n	32	27
Root number LC*	%	1.89	0.00
(+ = ASU 2+)	n	53	32
Root number LM1*	%	2.94	0.00
(+ = ASU 3+)	n	68	30
Root number LM2	%	96.49	71.43
(+ = ASU 2+)	n	57	28
Torsomolar angle LM3	%	32.43	40.74

 \ast indicates traits that were removed through the data editing process.

Figure 5 (continued). Dental trait frequencies (%) and number of individuals (n).



Figure 6. Examples of non-metric dental traits: a) Tuberculum dentale on upper 2^{nd} incisor; b) Tomes' root on lower 1^{st} premolar; c) C1-C2 crest on the Lower 1st molar; d) Labial curvature of upper 1^{st} molar.

Inter-sample biodistances were calculated using the Mean Measure of Divergence distance statistic (MMD). The MMD has been used effectively in multiple biodistance studies. It handles missing data and small sample sizes well when the Freeman and Tukey angular transformation is incorporated (Freeman and Tukey 1950; Sjøvold 1973; Green and Suchey 1976; Sjøvold 1977). Significant differences are assessed by comparing the MMD distance with its standard deviation (Sx). If the MMD is 2X greater than Sx, the null hypothesis of identical sample pairs is rejected at the 0.025 level (Sjøvold 1977; Harris and Sjøvold 2004). The closer the MMD value is to zero the higher level of affinity the sample pairs share. Although including the maximum number of traits when using the MMD is advised, they should be selectively edited to improve results (Sjøvold 1977). The process is three-fold. Firstly, traits with consistently high or low frequencies observed across the collections being studied need to be removed. Secondly, any traits that are most or least likely to drive variation between the

samples can be deleted. Lastly, strongly intercorrelated traits should be removed from analyses. Trait frequencies were assessed using the data in Figure 5. Principal components analysis (PCA) was employed to identify traits that were not useful in driving variation. Kendall's tau-b test was used to identify any highly inter-correlated traits (Irish 2005, 2006).

	Gabati Meroitic	Gabati post-Meroitic/medieval
3-Q-33	0.0815	0.0566
Meroitic	0.0524	0.0508
Pharaonic	0.0837	0.0919
Tombos	0.0950	0.1313
PM4C	0.0218	0.0840
X-Group	0.0401	0.0788
3-J-23	0.0200	0.0719
Christian	0.0896	0.1302
Gabati Meroitic	0.0000	0.0329
Gabati post-Meroitic/ medieval	0.0329	0.0000

Significant values (p ≤.025) in bold.

Figure 7. 36-Trait Mean Measure of Divergence results.



Figure 8. Multi-dimensional scaling of 36 Trait MMD results for Gabati and comparative samples. Three-letter sample abbreviations are defined in Figure 3.

To illustrate how samples related to each other Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS) was used. MDS uses the MMD values to create a graphic representation of the distances between samples.

Results

Dental trait frequencies

Figure 5 lists the results from scoring the 36 dental traits from Gabati, divided into Meroitic and post-Meroitic/medieval. The percentage of individuals exhibiting each trait is shown along with the total number of individuals. The data from Figure 5 reveals that the two assemblages are similar, except for higher instances of Labial curvature of the Upper 1st Incisor, Tuberculum dentale on the Upper 2nd Incisor, C1-C2 crest on the Lower 1st Molar and Lower 1st Premolar Tomes root in the Meroitic sample compared to the post-Meroitic/medieval sample (see Figure 6).

36-Trait MMD analysis

The results of the 36-trait MMD comparison can be found in Figure 7. Meroitic Gabati (GABM) is significantly different (p=0.025 level) from five of the nine comparative assemblages, including all other Meroitic collections. The Meroitic Gabati sample (GABM) is most similar to Site 3-J-23 (MMD, 0.020) and the post-Meroitic 4th Cataract collection (PM4C) (MMD, 0.022), both of which are from further north in Upper Nubia. Although the Gabati Meroitic collection (GABM) is not significantly different from the post-Meroitic/ medieval sample (GABPM), an MMD value was calculated (MMD, 0.033), indicating some difference. The GABPM is significantly different from all other comparative collections. Figure 8 is the MDS of the 36-trait MMD values. The MDS model is a good fit for the data, with Kruskal's Stress Test 1 = 0.082 and r² = 0.927. The MDS shows regional patterning, with the Lower Nubian and Upper Nubian assemblages grouping together. The Gabati samples are distinct from these two groups, except 3-J-23 which is positioned near GABM. The only temporal patterning is apparent in the earliest sites: the Pharaonic (PHA) sample dated to the New Kingdom period and Tombos (TOM) dated to the late New Kingdom and Third Intermediate Period (Buzon *et al.* 2016), which are placed closely together.



Figure 9. Multi-dimensional scaling of PCA results for Gabati and comparative samples. Three-letter sample abbreviations are defined in Figure 3.



Figure 10. Examples of non-metric dental traits: a) Root number of upper 1st premolar; b) Root number of lower 2nd molar; c) Tuberculum dentale on upper 2nd incisor.

	Gabati Meroitic	Gabati post-Meroitic/medieval
3-Q-33	0.0645	0.0643
Meroitic	0.0466	0.0880
Pharaonic	0.1311	0.1877
Tombos	0.1428	0.2222
PM4C	0.1019	0.1863
X-Group	0.0330	0.1386
3-J-23	0.0263	0.0812
Christian	0.0731	0.2032
Gabati Meroitic	0.0000	0.0176
Gabati post-Meroitic/medieval	0.0176	0.0000

Significant values (p ≤.025) in bold.

Figure 11. 20-Trait Mean Measure of Divergence results.

Principal Component Analysis

Figure 5 was reviewed to identify traits with consistently low frequencies across all 10 samples. Traits removed at this stage were: odontome on the 1st and 2nd premolars, root number of the lower canine and root number of the lower 2nd molar. PCA was used to expose which of the remaining 33 traits are most important in driving inter-sample variation. The first four components are responsible for 70.1% of the total variance among samples. As such, any trait with a weighting of less than 0.5 across these components was removed. MDS was used to visually represent how the trait weightings over the first three components were distributed (Figure 9). Only the first three components (accounting for 60% of the variation) were used so that the MDS could be compared with the other scatterplots, with only three dimensions. In Figure 9, as with Figure 8, there is a general grouping of Upper Nubian and Lower Nubian samples, with the exceptions of 3-J-23, which is closer to the Gabati samples, and the Pharaonic (PHA) sample, which is nearer the Upper Nubian collections. Again, the Gabati samples are distinct from the others, except 3-J-23. The Gabati samples are separated along the z-axis, which applies to the 3rd component. Looking at the results from PCA weightings, it seems that higher frequencies of tuberculum dentale on the upper 2nd incisor, root number of the upper 1st premolar and root number of the lower 2nd molar caused these two samples to diverge (see Figure 10).

20-Trait MMD analysis

After editing, 20 traits remained and were subjected to MMD analysis (Figure 11). Details of which traits were removed can be found in Figure 5. The Meroitic Gabati sample is less divergent from the other collections, only yielding significant differences with the Tombos (TOM), Pharaonic (PHA) and post-Meroitic 4^{th} Cataract (PM4C) assemblages. The Meroitic Gabati (GABM) sample shares the closest affinity to later Gabati (MMD, 0.0176), and is similar to 3-J-23 (0.0263). The Gabati post-Meroitic/medieval (GABPM) assemblage is slightly less distinct, now indicating a similarity with 3-Q-33 (MMD, 0.0643); however, it is still significantly different from the other seven samples. The MDS of the 20-trait MMD analysis is presented in Figure 12. Again, regional grouping can be observed. Upper Nubians are closer to the Gabati samples, mirroring the geographical relationship. The Lower Nubians are more closely grouped than the Upper Nubian assemblages. Temporal patterning is only visible for the earlier collections, Tombos (TOM) and Pharaonic (PHA). The MDS is a good fit for the 20 trait MMD values, with Kruskal's Stress Test 1 = 0.099 and $r^2 = 0.899$.



Figure 12. Multi-dimensional scaling of 20 Trait MMD results for Gabati and comparative samples. Three-letter sample abbreviations are defined in Table 1.

Discussion

Gabati is one of the largest Meroitic burial collections from below the 5th Cataract of the Nile, the heartland of the Meroitic empire (Edwards and Judd 2012, 75). Positioned so close to Meroe and as an example of non-elite burials, it has provided an important insight into this later phase of the Meroitic period. Additionally, the presence of later post-Meroitic and medieval burials offers a window into the transitional period following the hegemony of the Meroitic empire.

The data from Meroitic Gabati indicates that the pattern of regionality, seen in both Lower and Upper Nubia, could also be applicable to the area below the 5th Cataract. All three MDS plots (Figures 8, 9, and 12) show that the collections group by region, whereas temporal patterns are less evident. The two earliest samples, Pharaonic (PHA) and Tombos (TOM), are grouped together in both the 36- and 20-trait analysis. Both samples date to the New Kingdom and there is evidence that Egyptian immigrants or those with mixed Egyptian/Nubian heritage may have been present (Irish 2005; Buzon 2008; Buzon et al. 2016). The presence of Egyptians or those of mixed heritage in both the Pharaonic (PHA) and Tombos (TOM) samples may account for the phenetic similarities between the two collections, even though they are from different regions. In the 20-trait analysis Meroitic Gabati (GABM) is not significantly different from the other Meroitic collections, i.e., Meroitic (MER) and Site 3-Q-33 (3Q33), but has closer affinities to the others. This indicates that while there may have been some movement of people throughout Nubia during the Meroitic period, there is no evidence of mass migration or the repopulating of regions. The Meroitic period has been found to be much more variable than the Napatan period, both in burial practices and material culture (Edwards 2004, 141-181). It has been proposed that due to the varied nature of the different regions under control, the Meroitic state used local elites to control different areas. These groups would pay homage and taxes to Meroe (Edwards 2004, 164). As the empire expanded, the lands newly under control would have had existing populations and regional cultures. While the elites who controlled these areas may have adopted new Meroitic practices, they may not have been imposed in rural areas like Gabati. This could explain the variation seen in the material culture and dental data.

The observed regionality also extends to the post-Meroitic and medieval periods. Although the two Gabati samples are phenetically similar, the post-Meroitic/medieval sample is distinct from the other collections. This is mirrored in later Upper Nubian samples, post-Meroitic 4th Cataract (PM4C) and Site

3-J-23. It has been suggested that cultural changes after the fall of Meroe were due to the establishment of regional groups under the control of Meroe or people outside the empire moving into Nubia (Shinnie 1996, 324). This could be the reason why the post-Meroitic samples from Gabati (GABPM) and Upper Nubia are different from the others, indicating a new gene flow from outside Nubia. The medieval collection from Upper Nubia (Site 3-J-23) is very similar to the Meroitic Gabati sample, suggesting that people from the south moved into the 4th Cataract region during this period.

The two Gabati samples (GABM and GABPM) are not significantly different (p=0.025 alpha level) from each other in either the 36-Trait or 21-Trait MMD analysis. This suggests population continuity. As the assemblages come from the same cemetery any notable biodistance is interesting, potentially indicating outside gene flow. A previous biodistance study, based on craniometrics, found significant differences between the periods at Gabati (Vollner 2016, 103). Additionally, Vollner (2016, 130) found that Gabati had higher than average phenotypic variation, probably due to gene flow. However, it should be noted that this finding is based on treating the Gabati cemetery as a single assemblage. The post-Meroitic burial practice shows marked differences from the earlier graves, with the use of tumuli, single burials and evidence of ritual fires. There is also continuity from the Meroitic period, with the positioning and orientation of bodies similar in both periods (Edwards 1998, 207). The differences in burial practice coupled with the continuity observed could indicate that a new group moved into the area and co-habited with the existing population, creating new cultural practices. This could have added to the phenetic variation but not created a significant difference between the samples. Conversely, the cemetery may have fallen out of use for a period. The radiocarbon dates for the post-Meroitic are much later than the latest date for Meroitic graves (Edwards 1998). A study by Streetman (2018, 161) using cranial non-metric traits found the Gabati post-Meroitic/medieval assemblage to be homogenous, perhaps representing a familial group. Perhaps these later burials represented a smaller group that moved to the site in the post-Meroitic period. Due to phenetic similarities between the Gabati samples (36-trait MMD = 0.0329, 20-trait MMD = 0.0176), if the post-Meroitic inhabitants did represent a new group, then this group likely originated in the same region (i.e. below the 5^{th} Cataract).

Alternatively, biodistance studies using metrics and non-metric traits have been shown to correlate to different parts of the genome, mitochondrial DNA and nuclear DNA respectively (Herrera *et al.* 2014; Hubbard *et al.* 2015; Irish *et al.* 2020). The conflicting results from the two studies (Vollner 2016; Streetman 2018) could be related to differences between the two aspects of the genome rather than a change in the population. This would be supported by the dental data as the biodistance between the samples was small and not significant.

Conclusion

The dental data from Gabati revealed that the area south of the 5th Cataract displays differences from other Nubian collections. These seem to be part of a wider pattern observed throughout Nubia, where collections are grouped by region rather than period. Comparable data has shown that this regional patterning continued into the post-Meroitic/medieval periods. The Gabati collection adds to the existing corpus of dental non-metric trait data collected throughout the Nile Valley and Africa. Furthermore, they contribute new insights into the genetic relationships of people from the heartland of the Meroitic kingdom. Hopefully as more data are collected from cemetery sites in the more southern reaches of Nubia, the genetic picture of this important region will become even clearer.

Acknowledgements

Support for ELWP is from the Wellcome Trust (British Museum grant 097365/Z/11/Z), and Liverpool John Moores University (Matched-Funded PhD Scholarship). Funding for JDI is from the Institute for

Bioarchaeology, Wenner-Gren Foundation (#7557), National Geographic Committee for Research & Exploration (#8116-06), and the National Science Foundation (BNS-9013942 and BNS-0104731 awarded to JDI, and BCS-0917815 awarded to M. Buzon, Purdue University). We are also grateful to the many individuals at institutions from which the data were collected, including Arizona State University, the British Museum, Panum Institut, and Purdue University. Images taken courtesy of the Trustees of the British Museum.

References

- Bushara, M., M. Saad Abdallah and M. Suliman Bashir 2017. 'Between Napata and Meroe: a newly discovered cemetery at Enapis (TARP) in the Middle Nile region', *Sudan & Nubia* 21, 128-133.
- Buzon, M. R. 2008. 'A bioarchaeological perspective on Egyptian colonialism in Nubia during the New Kingdom', *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 94(1), 165-182.
- Buzon, M. R., S. T. Smith and A. Simonetti 2016. 'Entanglement and the formation of the ancient Nubian Napatan state', *American Anthropologist* 118(2), 284-300.
- Edwards, D. 1996. *The Archaeology of the Meroitic State: New Perspectives on its Social and Political Organisation*. Cambridge Monographs in African Archaeology 38. Oxford.
- Edwards, D. N. 1998. Gabati: A Meroitic, Post-Meroitic and Medieval Cemetery in Central Sudan. Oxford.
- Edwards, D. N. 2004. The Nubian Past: An Archaeology of the Sudan. New York.
- Edwards, D. N. and M. A. Judd 2012. 'Gabati Revisited', in M. A. Judd (ed.), *Gabati: A Meroitic, Post-Meroitic and Medieval Cemetery in Central Sudan. Vol. 2: The Physical Anthropology.* Sudan Archaeological Research Society Monograph 19. London, 75-83.
- Freeman, M. F. and J. W. Tukey 1950. 'Transformations related to the angular and the square root', *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 21(4), 607-611.
- Godde, K. 2010. 'Who were the Meroites? A biological investigation into the Nubian post-hiatus group', *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 20(4), 388-395.
- Godde, K. 2013. 'An examination of the spatial-temporal isolation model in a Nilotic population: variation across space and time in Nubians using cranial discrete traits', *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 23(3), 324-333.
- Green, R. F. and J. M. Suchey 1976. 'The use of inverse sine transformations in the analysis of non-metric cranial data', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 45(1), 61-68.
- Harris, E. F. and T. Sjøvold 2004. 'Calculation of Smith's mean measure of divergence for intergroup comparisons using nonmetric data', *Dental Anthropology* 17(3), 83-93.
- Hefner, J. T., M. A. Pilloud, J. Buikstra and C. C. M. Vogelsberg 2016, 'A brief history of biological distance analysis', in M. A. Pilloud and J. T. Hefner (eds), *Biological Distance Analysis: Forensic and Bioarchaeological Perspectives*. Elsevier Inc., 1-22. Doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-801966-5.00001-9.
- Herrera, B., T. Hanihara and K. Godde 2014. 'Comparability of multiple data types from the Bering Strait region: Cranial and dental metrics and nonmetrics, mtDNA, and Y-chromosome DNA', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 154(3), 334-348.
- Hlusko, L. J., N. Do and M. C. Mahaney 2007. 'Genetic correlations between mandibular molar cusp areas in baboons', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 132(3), 445-454.
- Hubbard, A.R., D. Guatelli-Steinberg and J. D. Irish 2015. 'Do nuclear DNA and dental nonmetric data produce similar reconstructions of regional population history? An example from modern coastal Kenya', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 157(2), 295-304.
- Irish, J. D. 2005. 'Population continuity vs. discontinuity revisited: Dental affinities among late Paleolithic through Christian-era Nubians', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 128(3), 520-535.
- Irish, J. D. 2006. 'Who were the ancient Egyptians? Dental affinities among Neolithic through postdynastic peoples',

American Journal of Physical Anthropology 129(4), 529-543.

- Irish, J. D. 2008. 'Dental morphometric analyses of the Neolithic human skeletal sample from R12: characterizations and contrasts', in S. Salvatori and D. Usai (eds), *A Neolithic Cemetery in the Northern Dongola Reach: Excavations at Site R12*. Sudan Archaeological Research Society Monograph 16. London, 105-112.
- Irish, J. D., A. Morez, L. Girdland Flink, E. L. Phillips and G. R. Scott 2020. 'Do dental nonmetric traits actually work as proxies for neutral genomic data? Some answers from continental- and global-level analyses', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 172(3), 347-375.
- Johnson, A. L. and N. C. Lovell 1995. 'Dental morphological evidence for biological continuity between the A-Group and C-Group periods in lower Nubia', *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 5(4), 368-376.
- Judd, M. A. 2012. *Gabati: A Meroitic, Post-Meroitic and Medieval Cemetery in Central Sudan. Vol. 2: The Physical Anthropology.* Sudan Archaeological Research Society Monograph 19. London.
- Larsen, C. S. 1997. Bioarchaeology: Interpreting Behaviour from the Human Skeleton. Cambridge.
- Rilly, C. and A. De Voogt 2012. The Meroitic Language and Writing System. Cambridge.
- Sakamoto, T. 2016. 'The Meroitic cemetery of Gereif East. A glance into the regional characteristics of Khartoum province', *Sudan & Nubia* 20, 82-90.
- Schillaci, M. A., J. D. Irish and C. C. Wood 2009. 'Further analysis of the population history of ancient Egyptians', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 139(2), 235-243.
- Schrader, S., M. Buzon and J. D. Irish 2014. 'Illuminating the Nubian 'Dark Age': A bioarchaeological analysis of dental non-metric traits during the Napatan Period', *HOMO* 65(4), 267-280.
- Scott G. R. 1973. Dental Morphology: A Genetic Study of American White Families and Variation in Living Southwest Indians. PhD thesis. Arizona State University. Tempe.
- Scott G. R. and C. G. Turner II 1997. The Anthropology of Modern Human Teeth: Dental Morphology and its Variation in Recent Human Populations. Cambridge.
- Scott, G. R. and J. D. Irish 2017. Human Tooth Crown and Root Morphology. Cambridge.
- Sjøvold, T. 1973. 'The occurrence of minor non-metrical variants in the skeleton and their quantitative treatment for population comparisons', *Homo* 24, 204-233.
- Sjøvold, T. 1977. 'Non-metrical divergence between skeletal populations', Ossa 4: supplement 1, 1-133.
- Shinnie, P. L. 1996. 'Post-Meroitic Period', in E. Condurachi, J. Herrmann, and E. Zürcher (eds), *History of Humanity Vol. 3: From the Seventh Century BC to the Seventh Century AD.* London-New York-Paris, 324-326.
- Streetman, E. R. 2018. *Inferring the Social Organization of Medieval Upper Nubia Using Nonmetric Traits of the Skull.* PhD thesis. Michigan State University. East Lansing.
- Turner II, C. G., C. R. Nichol and G. R. Scott 1991. 'Scoring procedures for key morphological traits of the permanent dentition: the Arizona State University Dental Anthropology System', in M. A. Kelley and C. S. Larsen (eds), *Advances in Dental Anthropology*. New York, 13-31.
- Usai, D., S. Salvatori, T. Jakob and R. David 2014. 'The Al Khiday cemetery in Central Sudan and its 'Classic/Late Meroitic' period graves', *Journal of African Archaeology* 12(2), 183-204.
- Vollner, J. M. 2016. Examining the Population History of Three Medieval Nubian Sites Through Craniometric Analyses. PhD thesis. Michigan State University. East Lansing.
- Welsby, D. A. 2005. 'The Kingdom of Kush. Urban defences and military installations', in N. Crummy (ed.), Image, Craft and the Classical World. Essays in Honour of Donald Bailey and Catherine Johns. Monogram Instrumentum 29. Montagnac, 39-54.
- Wolf, P. and U. Nowotnick 2006. 'The Third Season of the SARS Anglo-German Expedition to the Fourth Cataract of the Nile', *Sudan & Nubia* 10, 20-31.
- Žabkar, L. V. 1975. Apedemak, Lion God of Meroe: A Study in Egyptian-Meroitic syncretism. Warminster.